



SCHOOL-PROFESSION-LABOUR

SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

KAZIMIERZ WIELKI UNIVERSITY IN BYDGOSZCZ

ISSN: 2082-6087

REVIEW FORM

Name and surname of the reviewer:.....

Position/ Academic degree(s) of the reviewer:.....

Institution:.....

The paper's title in Polish:.....

.....

The paper's title in English:.....

.....

The kind of paper: Original research article, Review Article, Research Report,

Case study, Guidelines, Other

Short summary of the article:.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

The evaluation of chosen aspects of the article:

EVALUATION CRITERIA	YES	NO	NEEDS REVISING
article closely related to the theme of the journal			
originality of the subject matter and paper's theoretical contribution			
article's structure following the Journal's guidelines			
the presence of keywords and abstracts both in Polish and English			
clearly determined and realized goal of the paper			
the choice of appropriate research methods			
correct interpretations of data and conclusions			
references being up-to date and complex			
reference lists and in-text references organized properly			
the theoretical quality of the paper			
article's linguistic correctness			

Further comments and assessment's justification.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

I thereby state that the article I have reviewed:

- a. is acceptable for publication with no objections
- b. is acceptable for publication after revision according to the reviewer's comments
 - i. after correcting the article needs to be reviewed again
 - ii. after correcting the article does not need to be reviewed again
- c. is rejected for publication.

Further reviewer's comments and suggested changes/corrections (divided into necessary and optional ones):

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

I hereby certify that there is no conflict of interest between the Reviewer and the Author, especially:

1. There are no personal relationships between the Reviewer and the Author (like second degree kinship, marriage, etc.),
2. The Reviewer and the Author are not each other's subordinates,
3. There was no direct scientific collaboration of the Reviewer and the Author during the two years prior to the review's submission date.

.....

Place and date

Legible signature of the reviewer